Cragnes

BEFORE THE FEDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION ECEIVED WASHINGTON, D.C. 20554

JAN 2 1 2303

*EDERAL COMMUNICATIONS COMMISSION SECRETARY HOOCKET FILE YORK ORIGINAL FCC 02-201 MM Docket No. 98-112 Table of Allotments, FM Broadcast Stations RM-9027 (Anniston and Ashland, AL, College Park, RM-9268

RM-9384

To: The Commission

Amendment of Section 73.202(b),

Covington, and Milledgeville, Georgia)

In the Matter of

OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO FILE RESPONSE

PRESTON W. SMALL Timothy E. Welch, Esq. Hill and Welch 1330 New Hampshire Ave., N.W. #113 Washington, D.C. 20036 (202) 775-0070 (202) 775-9026 (FAX) welchlaw@earthlink.net

()+4

Preston W. Small (Mr. Small), by his attorney, hereby replies to WNNX LICO, Inc.'s January 14, 2003 *Motion for Leave to File Response (Motion)*. In reply thereto, the following is respectfully submitted:

- leave of the Commission. Mr. Small did not consider it necessaryto seek leave to file the *Notice* in view of the fact that it appeared that WNNX had determined that it would not to oppose the *Third* and Fourth Motionsfor Leave to Supplement. Certainly Mr. Small is entitled to provide the Commission with information concerning how WNNX's failures to respond should be construed and, in the face of no contradictory evidence being introduced, what the Commission's legal requirements are in light of those uncontradicted facts. In a separate pleading WNNX belatedly responds to the factual allegations contained in the *Third and Fourth Motions for Leave to Supplement* and its instant Motion is intended to obtain leave to file the untimely response. Mr. Small does not object to the acceptance of the substance of WNNX's untimely response and Mr. Small is concurrently filing herewith a Reply io Response.
- 2) However, Mr. Small does object to WNNX's untimely raising ofprocedural arguments against Mr. Small's *Third and Fourth Motion for Leave to Supplement. Motion*, at 2. WNNX claims that Mr. Small's *Third and Fourth Motions for Leave to Supplement* are unauthorized and

WNNX mischaracterizes its Response as a response to Mr. Small's January 2,2003 Notice of No Response Received to Third and Fourih Motionsfor Leave to File Supplement and Request for Entry of Adverse Findings Against WNNX LICO, Inc. WNNX Response responds to the factual information presented in the Third and Fourth Motions for Leave to Supplement. The factual information presented in the Notice of No Response clearly summarizes the information presented in the Third and Fourth Motions for Leave to Supplement for the purpose of establishing factual predicates to support conclusions of law. No new factual information, other than noting WNNX's failures to respond, is raised in the Notice of No Response.

untimely. WNNX makes these procedural arguments in an untimely pleading and contradicts the

very point it is making. Moreover, Mr. Small's Third and Fourih Motions for Leave to Supplemeni

clearly seeks authorization to file the factual information contained therein and provides the

justification for filing the information. The Third and Fourih Motions for Leave to Supplement are

clearly pleadings which are "separate" from the petition for reconsideration. 47 C.F.R. § 1.429(e).

The Commission will need to determine whether conclusive evidence of WNNX's misrepresenta-

tion is deserving of attention in this proceeding, but clearly Mr. Small followed appropriate

procedures in bringing the information to the Commission's attention

WHEREFORE, in view of the information presented herein, the Commission should deny

WNNX's Motion to the extent that it argues that the Third and Fourth Motions for Leuve io

Supplement are procedurally defective. To the extent that WNNX's Motion seeks leave to file a

substantive response to the *Third and Fourth Motions for Leave to Supplemeni*, Mr. Small consents

to the request.

Hill & Welch

1330 New Hampshire Ave., N.W. #113

Washington, D.C. 20036

(202) 775-0070

(202) 775-9026 (FAX)

wclchlaw@earthlink.net

January 21, 2003

Respectfully submitted,

PRESTON W. SMALL

Timothy E. Welch

His Attorney

2

CERTIFICATE OF SERVICE

I hereby certify that I have this 21st day of January 2003 served a copy of the foregoing OPPOSITION TO MOTION TO FILE RESPONSE by First-class United States mail, postage prepaid, upon the following:

Mark N. Lipp Erwin G. Krasnow Shook, Hardy and Bacon 600 14th Street, N.W. Suite 800 Washington, D.C. 20005-2004 Counsel to WNNX and RSI

Kevin F. Recd Elizabeth A. M. McFadden Nam E. Kim Dow, Lohnes & Albertson, PLLC 1200 New Hampshire Ave., N.W. #800 Washington, D.C. 20036 Counsel to Cox

Auburn Network, Inc. c/o Lee G. Petro Gardner, Carton & Douglas 1301 K Street, N.W. Suite 900 East Tower Washington, D.C. 20005

Marengo Broadcast Association 5256 Valleybrook Trace Birmingham, AL 35244

Dale Broadcasting, Inc. P.O. Box 909 Alexander City, AL 3505

Mark Blacknell Womble Carlyle Sandridge & Rice 1401 Eye Street, N.W. # 700 Washington D.C. 20005

Williamson Broadcasting, Inc. 702 East Battle Street, Suite A Talladega, AL 35161 Scott Communications, Jnc. 273 Persimmon Tree Road Selma. AL 36701

Southeastern Broadcasting Co. P.O. Box 1820 Clanton, AL 35045

Dan J. Alpert 2120 N. 21st Road Arlington, VA 22201

Joan Reynolds Brantley Broadcast Associates 415 North College Street Greenville. AL 36037

James R. Bayes Wiley, Rein & Fielding 1776 K Street, N.W. Washington, D.C. 20006

Γimothy E. Welch